



ARREST OF PERSONS AND WARRANTS

By *Mallika A. Bhaskar*
From *"Kirit P. Mehta School of Law"*

ABSTRACT

Every individual should be regarded as a human being, no matter what his or her criminal record, ethnicity, creed, age, or political or economic condition. Though charges have been brought against an individual, the accused is innocent unless proved guilty in a court of law. Even the privileges of the convicted are regarded as holy, even when charged with an offence, for in our democratic society.

We are cautious of "personal liberty" so as not to detain any citizen for lawful cause. Life and liberty can be taken only be in accordance with procedures laid out in the article 21 of the constitution. Day-to-to-day corruption and unprincipled acts take place throughout the police office Any of this will occur during the moment in administering justice registration of a lawsuit, to call on the cops to apprehend anyone, to not get the person you arrested, for fake proof and on someone's behalf, to confiscate 'hafta', even for providing evidence in civil conflicts.

The power of arrest is the greatest single corruption and the greatest single cause of police extortion comes from law enforcement officials' When a police report is in filed, they have the right to prosecute any participant in the investigation, even though it is not based on firm evidence.

According to Article 21, processes must be conducted in a just, equitable, and

proportional way. The detention must be lawful and ethical as well. An accused individual has several fundamental rights under the Indian Constitution, among them those is the right to be provided food and water before their bail is posted. This means that, indeed, the defendant has those protections.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Liberty is to the collective body, what health is to every individual body. Without health no pleasure can be tasted by man; without Liberty, no happiness can be enjoyed by society."
-Bolingbroke

The primary function of an arrest is to allow the enforcement of the law. Criminal charges often help to let the world know that someone has been convicted of a felony, and to make an example of him so he or she would not pursue further offences. When making a criminal argument, detention is an extreme move and is looked on with reluctance, but not against the law. As a result of imprisonment, the individual is deprived of his liberty. Preventing the suspect from fleeing the jurisdiction is crucial in criminal cases.

Under the Indian law, you may be arrested by the police or by any magistrate or any private individual but you may only use the powers specified in the Indian penal code to do so. Therefore, only individuals employed by the armed forces are prohibited from being detained except in the discharge of their official duties, service except with permission of the state government (section 45 CrPC). Any private citizen can make an arrest without a court order when the person



has committed a non-bailable offence or when he has committed cognizable offences in the officer's presence.¹

“CrPC exempts the members of Armed forces from being arrested for anything done by them in discharge of their official duties except after obtaining the consent of the government (section 45 CrPC). According to section 43 of CrPC, Any private individual may arrest a person without warrant only when the person is a proclaimed offender under section 82 CrPC and the person commits a non-bailable offence and cognizable offences in his presence; with warrant u/s 72 and 73, under order of a Police officer u/s 37 and under order of a magistrate u/s 37 and 44 Cr. P.C. and also 60 CrPC.”

When we look at the definition of ‘arrest’ it says it implies ‘to snatch or to apprehend, and put an end to.’ It’s clear the detention implies to cut off someone’s flow of action. by either police or Magistrate, an individual may be detained by the law Is it necessary to prosecute someone else for breaking into another’s car? When he is found guilty, what and what offence would he deserve punishment? Will criminals have civil rights? Is it possible to execute it?

Code of Criminal Procedure does not have an exact definition for the word "warrant." in Oxford, warrant is an official permission for the police or any agency to conduct an investigation or inspect the property. The Court has either ordered or granted the authority to follow the warrant's instructions Such orders may be issued, such as detention, search, or development of the victim or accused, or by means of the Supreme Court or Supreme Court.

¹ <http://www.legalserviceindia.com/article/1470-Private-Defence.html>

The arrests in the criminal justice system were one of the most critical topics. It is vital to investigate this issue, an indictment is an individual that is believed to have done wrong, in certain cases, is used as a tactic to make the majority of his peers believe in his guilt.² It strives to ensure that bad things don't occur in the world. The influence it has is used to bring on the general population is to instill is to make them feel that something they do wrong would end in walled-in surroundings of fundamentals. People love their rights the most, and the right to take initiative is what undermines it.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methods used in this research are secondary methods, which include articles, blogs, books and newspapers. Internet blog posts and articles are an essential part of the research. The conclusion drawn are carefully interpreted on the basis of collection of data from different secondary sources. The entire project is roughly based on different articles on the internet while making the idea clear. The research methodology adopted for the purpose of this project is the doctrinal method of research. For the purpose of this project even bare acts have been referred for relevant case laws.

3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the police procedure to arrest a person?
2. When is an arrest legal?
3. What are the types of warrants required for arrest of person?
4. What are the rights of an arrested person?

² <https://www.aapkaconsultant.com/blog/guidelines-for-arrest/>



4. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To study about the arrest procedures
2. To know the importance of issuing warrants before arrest
3. Provisions of arrest
4. To study about the different kinds of arrest

5. ARREST BY POLICE OFFICER

“A police officer may arrest without a warrant under Sections 41 (1) to 151 CrPC; under a warrant under Sections 72 to 74 CrPC; under the written order of an officer in charge under Sections 55 and 157; under the orders of magistrate under Section 44 and in non cognizable offence under Section 42 CrPC.³ A superior officer may arrest under Section 36 CrPC. An Officer-in-Charge of a Police Station may arrest under Section 42 (2) and 157 CrPC.

As held in the case of *Swami Hariharanand Saraswati vs Jailer I/C Dist.* Varanasi, 1954, the arrested person must be produced before another magistrate within 24 hours, otherwise his detention will be illegal.⁴

In the case of *Joginder Kumar vs State of UP*, 1994, it was argued that lawfulness cannot be the sole basis for an arrest. If an arrest is about to be made, so there must be cause.⁵

Further, in *State of Rajasthan vs Bhera*, 1997, it was held that the police officer was required to provide "specific and articulable

facts" that shape the belief that an arrest was appropriate in this instance before he could be justified.⁶

6. PROCEDURE OF ARREST

Section 46 of the Code of Criminal Procedure outlines how an accusation is made. According to this section, whether the individual detained is willing, the officer must place his or her hands on or restrain the person being apprehended with the permission of the arrester. Because restriction is the hindrance of liberty, an individual has no option but to consent or be faced with detention. arrest should not be accomplished solely by verbally as long as you have not restricted the arrestee's freedom of movement. He will give you away or he may sell you.

Bharosa Ramdayal vs. Emperor: If an individual makes a claim that he has been the victim of a crime, the police officer will take him into custody. if the accused persists on his route to the path, he has submitted to the custody of the officer. In certain instances, visual and non-contact communication media may often be used, in lieu of phone or e-mail contact interaction.⁷

In the *Union of India vs Birendra Rai* case, it was established that physical restraining was not necessary for detention, and submission to custody was adequate.⁸

³ <https://blog.ipleaders.in/rights-person-arrest-india/>

⁴ *Swami Hariharanand Saraswati And ... vs The Jailer I/C Dist. Jail, Banaras* on 24 March, 1954 AIR 1954 All 601

⁵ *Joginder Kumar vs State Of U.P* on 25 April, 1994 SCC (4) 260

⁶ *State Of Rajasthan vs Bhera* on 9 October, 1997 CriLJ 1237

⁷ *Bharosa Ramdayal vs. Emperor* 13 Ind Cas 999

⁸ *Union of India vs Birendra Rai* 1992 CriLJ 3866



Section 46(2) specifies that any individual who refuses or attempts to avoid arrest shall be taken into custody, with the use of any required force. So, indeed, whenever he attempts to flee, the police officer will use physical force to detain him. However, there is no right to kill someone who has committed an offence which carries the death penalty or life imprisonment. Additionally, as mentioned in Section 49, a detainee must not be restrained further than required to stop him from fleeing.

Section 46(4) was changed to include an exemption such that arrests cannot be made before sundown and sunrise if performed by a woman police officer with a written report until consent is obtained from a Judicial Magistrate-In.

7. RIGHTS OF AN ARRESTED PERSON

A particular means of control (arrest vs detention) is used based on whether you are held by the authorities or not. Law enforcement has the right to investigate you only if they have suspicion that you're involved in an activity, or if they have evidence that you're guilty. They must perform their quest in a methodical way that is impartial. When the police apprehend you, they will touch you and protect your safety or security of themselves and others. a pat-down scan that aims to locate concealed weapons They do not take your things. They are only able to search and seize something they suspect is a firearm.⁹ During a detention, they will check your belongings and gather evidence, while you will be limited to body

patting you down if you were just being held. They will be allowed to check you or your vehicle as a last resort, whether or not you have committed a crime.

An arrested person has the following rights:

- Right to be told why you are arrested or detained
- Right to be searched in a certain manner
- Right to remain silent
- Right to talk to a lawyer under most of the circumstances

In *Kultej Singh vs Circle Inspector of Police*, 1992, it was held by the court that keeping a person in custody in the police station or confining the movement of the person in the precincts of the police station amounts to arrest of the person.¹⁰

Medha Patkar v. State (2007): This is an example of a bias in MP towards the Sarov Project. Many of the homeowners and citizens impacted by this project showed up on the lane, arguing with chants. although maintaining public safety, the Police nevertheless filed charges and arrested those present under Section 151 of the Criminal Procedure Law. Picketing protesters is made up of both men and women and infants. When the protestors did not post a bail, they violated the Indian Constitution's 21st provision.¹¹

8. ISSUE OF WARRANTS

A warrant is given by a judge or magistrate and is based on evidence that a serious offence has been committed. An arrest warrant is a summons for the custody of a

⁹ <https://blog.ipleaders.in/rights-person-arrest-india/>

¹⁰ *Kultej Singh vs Circle Inspector Of Police And ...* on 18 December, ILR 1991 KAR 3198

¹¹ *Medha Patkar vs State Of M.P. And Anr.* on 25 September, 2007 CriLJ 47



person given by a member of the public. That is mostly for misdemeanours that may not fall inside the officer's sight. However, where there is reasonable cause that a person has committed a crime, a warrant is not requested.

The material on this site primarily applies to Arrest Warrants.

Other Types of Warrants:

1. **Search Warrant** – In other words, it is a request to check a certain location for a particular object that is being searched. Probable cause to suspect testimony will be submitted to the judge in the form of an oath signed and sworn to. Warrants are useless to certain prosecutors in the software realm of crime.

In addition to criminal warrants, an FTA summons may be released for failing to appear at a hearing. This document can be known as a variety of different titles in different areas.

2. **Alias Warrant** - If the subject has violated a scheduled court appearance without answering or pleadings have been given against him, an Alias Warrant is sent out. Appearing in court without an advocate is an extra fee.
3. **Bench Warrant** - Warrant refers to an arrest warrant but bench warrants have the purpose of continuing the arrest in the mean time it takes for the case to be heard. It's usually released while a witness is missing an assigned presence in court.

4. **Capias Warrant/Capias Pro Fine Warrant** – A capias warrant is given where a subject has been found guilty by a court of law, pleads guilty, or fails to respond within the prescribed period, with regard to a statute. It is important to fulfil the capias warrant either by settling in full or going to prison after adequate time completed.

5. **Civil Capias Warrant** - If the applicant in a number in a legal dispute continues to comply with repeated judicial orders, a civil capias warrant is released. There are Body Actions and Criminal Warrants, too. A capias does not have the same connotation of a criminal liability. In the case of a contempt of court, the primary purpose is to get an individual in the room to listen to what he or she is being told.

6. **Fugitive Warrant** - Warrant sent from another state when the suspect is believed to be in local jurisdiction.

7. **Governor's Warrant** - These warrants come from the Governor's office so the suspect, who has committed a crime in another state, may be arrested and transported back to that state.

9. LANDMARK JUDGEMENTS

❖ **State of Maharashtra v. Christian Community Welfare Council of India¹²**

The Supreme Court agreed with the goal behind this decision, which is to ensure equitable application of the rules, but acknowledges the difficulty in its execution.

¹² STATE OF MAHARASHTRA VS CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY WELFARE COUNCIL OF INDIA [(2003) 8 SCC 546]



If the female pursued by the police is being protected from the various misdeeds, it might be impossible or impractical to get a lady constable around. Some effort is often made to get a lady constable present when an arrest is being made, but officers should be allowed to make this arrest either during the day or night if constable resources might impede the investigation.

❖ **State of Haryana v. Dinesh Kumar**¹³

For my purposes, 'detention' and 'incarceration' means one and the same. In other words, the event of the respondent having a job prior to being called in for prosecution, even though it did not take place through a magisterial process prior to the magistrate Bail was granted to the defendant without him forfeiting his right to a confrontation with the authorities. Since the suspect has not turned himself in or been captured, he was not held to be a prisoner of war. However, the Supreme Court concluded with the Judge that in such conditions, a convicted person's existence in a magistrate's court is equal to imprisonment. When the police rounded him up, he was detained without bail; when he appeared in court, he was sentenced to judicial or other detention. He will be incarcerated if he surrenders to the Court's decisions. The Supreme Court held that the defendant had never been held until freely testifying in court and was subsequently released.

❖ **K Basu v. State of West Bengal**¹⁴

This is an important decision, since it spells out the many ways in which children can

escape criminal prosecution. Bangladesh Dr. D.K. Basu, the NGO's chief executive officer, raised the question to the court in a public interest action. There was a copy of his letter to the Chief Justice submitted to the newspapers, asking that these reports be reviewed. This letter was treated as a petition for writ of certiorari of certiorari. The Supreme Court was greatly worried with brutality and mortality in jails. Legality and openness are two counter-controls toward abuses of police power. The Supreme Court issued recommendations in the results of a decision to be considered during both detention and arrest cases. There have been many updates to the code in the past years, such as the codification of the D.K. Basu laws on arrests, to get them up to date. That is meant "and, for example, in relation to amendments to section 41 (notification of detention) such as A (officer obligations), or A (medical examination of individual responsible for arrest as a prerequisite for taking him into custody), or 42 (right of arrested person to meet an advocate of his choice during questioning), an arrested person has the right to be investigated and is entitled to meet with an advocate of his choice (his choice) in this latter case. It was also ordered that these recommendations be widely distributed, for the purpose of alerting the authorities to the perils of arrestees and bolstering transparency.

❖ **State of Punjab v. Ajai Singh**¹⁵

The legal term "arrest" is described as "a physical restriction imposed on anyone who has committed a crime or crime"

According to the Defence of India Act, no detention orders issued prior to the Act of

¹³ STATE OF HARYANA VS DINESH KUMAR [(2008) 3 SCC 222]

¹⁴ K BASU VS STATE OF WEST BENGAL [AIR 1997 SC 610]

¹⁵ 1953 AIR 10



November 1, 1962 could be followed since he was not a District Magistrate, but only the subsequent ones.

10. CONCLUSION

The primary aim of this project was to learn about the process for prosecuting a suspect and issuing summons, since these are very relevant subjects for the initiation of a court case. The Law Commission paper on the Law of Arrest reveals evidence on how the power of arrest is being violated owing to people's denial of their rights. We comfort ourselves with the thought that these defenders of law and order are doing the correct thing, but we have hundreds of examples of this authority being abused. According to the survey, a high number of charges are made except for bailable offences, and bail is not given even if it is one's privilege. Subject to this trend, there will be more and more under-trial prisoners in jails soon. The sudden incarceration may have a numbing effect on a person's personality. In addition, he is angry, alienated, and out of control. Nevertheless, it is essential to strike a balance between state authority and human rights. there should be further control over this power in the hands of the courts and greater awareness of people's rights implemented such that balance can be brought to the process.

11. REFERENCES

Cases

1. Swami Hariharanand Saraswati And ... vs The Jailor I/C Dist. Jail, Banaras on 24 March, 1954 AIR 1954 All 601
2. Joginder Kumar vs State Of U.P on 25 April, 1994 SCC (4) 260
3. State Of Rajasthan vs Bhera on 9 October, 1997 CriLJ 1237

4. Bharosa Ramdayal vs. Emperor 13 Ind Cas 999
5. Union of India vs Birendra Rai 1992 CriLJ 3866
6. Kultej Singh vs Circle Inspector Of Police And ... on 18 December, ILR 1991 KAR 3198
7. Medha Patkar vs State Of M.P. And Anr. on 25 September, 2007 CriLJ 47
8. State of Maharashtra v. Christian Community Welfare Council of India [(2003) 8 SCC 546]
9. State of Haryana v. Dinesh Kumar [(2008) 3 SCC 222]
10. K Basu v. State of West Bengal [AIR 1997 SC 610]
11. State of Punjab v. Ajaib Singh 1953 AIR 10

Websites

birbhumpolice.org/arrestpersonright.php
blog.ipleaders.in
legalserviceindia.com/article/1470-Private-Defence.html
aapkaconsultant.com/blog/guidelines-for-arrest/
