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ABSTRACT -
Truth: Rape not solely happens with unknown, however additionally with the married girls that primarily happens within the house. Men who force their wives to have sex should have said to be committing rape. The laws are unit indistinct, in India marital rape is legal, but it should come under the category of rape as it’s still a rape-Patti Feuereisen.

Through this paper of mine I want to highlight the problem of marital rape which has still not given a particular section in the Indian Penal Code and there is no particular law in the Indian Constitution as well and this topic is very controversial and women are facing a lot more problem regarding the same.

Though there is section 375 of Indian penal code which gives the definition of rape which includes basically all types of rape like sexual assault, prosecution and many more but it does not contain the concept of marital rape. According to the current law in India it is assumed that wife has already given consent for sexual intercourse after marriage. India is one of the thirty sixth countries that still have not criminalized marital rape.

We will further see that even after the honorable Supreme Court judgement on the marital rape then also there are some writ petitions regarding the same which states that Supreme Court decision is violating the exception 2 which comes under Indian Penal Code under the section 375. We will be relating this topic with the domestic violence which happens with women.

INTRODUCTION
Research Question
1. Briefly explaining what is marital rape and what is the history behind this topic.
2. What laws of the women are violating through this concept.
3. Suggesting that how the condition of marital rape can eradicated from India.

Hypothesis
Marital rape is the concept when the women suffers from rape after the marriage from her husband. It is basically against the will of the women having the sexual intercourse with her husband. Though there are not as such provision in Indian Law but still there is a question that will there be any law on the marital rape in future also?

Objective
The object of this research paper is to bring out the slowly slowly increasing offence on the women if we will not focus then this will become a serious woman as of the dowry death and many more and this problem is also hurting the dignity of the women in the society. I want to basically put the light on this situation so that there must be any new provision on this in the future.

Scope
If we will notice according to the Indian scenario though there are provisions for rape in India but there is not a particular provision on the marital rape and in place of having any provisions regarding the marital rape there is an exception in the Indian Penal Code under
section 375 that is exception 2 to which basically tells about that the rape is not considered when it is between the spouse is that is between the husband and wife it doesn't matter that they are the married couples but it is considered according to the law that they both are the same single identity and if we will see that without the willingness of the women if the sexual intercourse happens between them then it hurts the dignity of the women and this situation shall be considered as an offence in the Indian law.

Concept of Marital Rape
Conjugal assault is viewed as a type of aggressive behavior at home and sexual maltreatment. Albeit, truly, sex inside marriage was viewed as a privilege of life partners, participating in the demonstration without the companion's assent is presently broadly named assault by numerous social orders all throughout the planet, renounced by global shows, and progressively condemned.

Conjugal assault is all the more generally experienced by ladies, however not only. Conjugal assault is frequently a constant type of brutality for the casualty which happens inside harmful relations. It exists in a mindboggling web of state governments, social practices, and cultural belief systems which consolidate to impact each particular case and circumstance varyingly. The hesitance to condemn and indict conjugal assault has been credited to conventional perspectives on marriage, understandings of strict precepts, thoughts regarding male and female sexuality, and to social assumptions for subjection of a spouse to her significant other sees which keep on being normal in numerous pieces of the world. These perspectives on marriage and sexuality began to be tested in most Western nations from the 1960s and 70s particularly by second-wave women's liberation, prompting an affirmation of the lady's entitlement to self-assurance (i.e., control) of all issue identifying with her body, and the withdrawal of the exclusion or guard of conjugal assault.

As of now, just 52 nations have laws perceiving that conjugal assault is a crime. In numerous locales across the world, including India, conjugal assault isn't perceived as a wrongdoing by law and society. In any event, when nations perceive assault as a wrongdoing and endorse punishments for the equivalent, they exclude the use of that law when a conjugal relationship exists among casualty and culprit. This is regularly called the 'conjugal assault exemption proviso'. Across these locales, there are four significant defenses progressed for not condemning conjugal assault. The underlying two supports are not utilized in present day setting because of progressions made concerning sex balance. The primary support originated from the comprehension of the spouse as compliant to her significant other. Ladies were property to their spouses, and this implied that ladies didn't have any rights in the marriage. In such a situation, it would not be feasible to understand a spouse assaulting his significant other since the husband was the expert to the wife, and appreciated advantages over her body. Alongside this defense, the solidarities hypothesis likewise existed. This hypothesis laid on the possibility that after marriage, the character of the lady converged with that of her husband. Therefore, law didn't give the wedded lady a character free of her significant other. This is connected to the past justification in terms of viewing at ladies as property of the spouse. In any case, post
1970s and the women's activist transformation, these avocations were no longer at the cutting edge of the support to not condemn conjugal assault. This was on the grounds that ladies were perceived as equivalent residents as men. All things being equal, more nuanced speculations have become supported. The 'suggested assent' hypothesis is one such defense. Here, an unquestionable assumption of agreement is thought to exist when a man and lady enter the foundation of marriage. Marriage is viewed as a common agreement and agreeing to sexual exercises is believed to be the characterizing component of this agreement. The fourth justification, which is the latest, is that criminal law should not meddle in the conjugal connections between the couple. It is a private circle which the law should not enter into. Through the course of this paper, we will build up why these defenses are not well founded and therefore propose a model for the criminalization of conjugal assault in India.

Relevant Legal Provisions

Though there is no such direct legal provision provided on Marital rape though there is a section in the IPC that is section 375 which deals with the rape excluding the marital rape as in our law it is believed that both the husband and the wife are the same. As per Section 375, Exception 2 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC), "sex by a man with his significant other, the spouse not being under fifteen years old, isn't assault." An investigation done by the UN Population Fund reveals to us that more than 66% of wedded ladies in India, between the ages of 15 and 49, have been beaten, assaulted or compelled to give sex. To decipher, in India, it is legitimate to assault a lady as long as you are hitched to her. We live in 2021, and this is the degree of brutality in our country. But this concept is violating many rights of the individual women. The rights that are infringed by this concept is as follows

Infringement of Article 14 of the Indian Constitution

Article 14 of the Indian Constitution guarantees that "The State will not deny to any individual fairness under the watchful eye of the law or the equivalent insurance of the laws inside the domain of India." Although the Constitution ensures uniformity to all, Indian criminal law victimizes female casualties who have been assaulted by their own spouses. At the time the IPC was drafted during the 1860s, a wedded lady was not viewed as a free legitimate element. Maybe, she was viewed as the property of her significant other. Exceptional case 2, which basically absolves activities executed by spouses against their wives from being viewed as demonstrations of "assault," is to a great extent affected by and got from this all around existing convention of blending the lady's personality with that of her better half. The conjugal exemption for the IPC's meaning of assault was drafted based on Victorian man centric standards that didn't perceive people as equivalents, didn't permit wedded ladies to claim property, and combined the characters of a couple under the "Tenet of Coverture."

However, circumstances are different. Indian law currently bears the cost of married couples isolated and free lawful characters, and much statute in the advanced time is unequivocally worried about the insurance of ladies.
Exceptional case 2 disregards the privilege to correspondence cherished in Article 14 to the extent that it victimizes wedded ladies by denying them equivalent insurance from assault and lewd behavior. The Exception makes two classes of ladies dependent on their conjugal status and vaccinates activities executed by men against their spouses. Truly, Exception 2 urges spouses to strongly go into sex with their wives, as they realize that their demonstrations are not debilitate or punished by law. Since no sane nexus can be unraveled between the order made by the Exception and the hidden goal of the Act, it doesn't fulfill the trial of sensibility, and in this manner abuses Article 14 of the Indian Constitution.

Infringement of Article 21
Exceptional case 2 is likewise an infringement of Article 21 of the Indian Constitution. Article 21 expresses that "no individual will be denied his life and individual freedom besides as indicated by the strategy set up by law." The Supreme Court has deciphered this statement in different decisions to stretch out past the simply exacting assurance to life and freedom. All things being equal, it has held that the rights cherished in Article 21 incorporate the rights to wellbeing, security, respect, safe day to day environments, and safe climate, among others.

Furthermore, Exception 2 abuses Article 21's entitlement to carry on with a solid and noble life. As referenced above, it is very much settled that the "right to life" visualized in Article 21 isn't simply an option to exist. In this vein, the courts have over and over held that the "right to life" envelops an option to live with human dignity. Yet the actual presence of Exception 2, which neglects to deflect spouses from participating in demonstrations of constrained sexual contact with their wives, antagonistically influences the physical and emotional wellness of ladies and subverts their capacity to live with poise. The above ends plainly that Exception 2 to Section 375 of the IPC is an encroachment of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution. It is time that Indian law comprehends the uncaring idea of this arrangement of law and strikes it down.

The instance of Phulmoni Dasi set off worries about the period of assent for youngster ladies specifically. The frontier government, vide change to the Age of Consent Act, 1861 raised the time of agree from ten to twelve years in both conjugal and extra-conjugal cases, determined to shield female kids from such youthful living together and prostitution later on. Notwithstanding, when the Amendment Act, 1925 was authorized, a qualification was made between the time of assent for extra-conjugal and for conjugal assault for example fourteen and thirteen years, separately despite the fact that the impact of this change was weakened since the discipline for the spouse was kept at a limit of two years in particular.

Besides, the said special case for wedded ladies matured somewhere in the range of fifteen and eighteen proceeded until 2018 when in the case of Independent thought vs Union of India where the honorable Supreme Court of India in a landmark judgment held that a man is submitting assault on the off chance that he participates in any type of sex with his significant other on the off chance that she is matured somewhere in the range of fifteen and eighteen. This was the first run through any legitimate acknowledgment was given to the way that rape on a young lady underneath the
age of eighteen by a man, regardless of whether he is her better half, adds up to assault.

**Case Laws**

Among the most awful instances of conjugal assault was the situation of Phulmoni Dasi otherwise called Queen-Empress vs Hari Mohan Maiti in 1881. This specific case is needed to be exceptionally referenced as it pulled in a lot of consideration among lawmakers and the general public also. The realities were that Phulmoni Devi, an eleven-year-old kid lady of the hour, kicked the bucket because of extreme draining when her better half, Hari Mohan, who was in his mid-thirties, attempted to perfect their marriage regardless of his significant other being eleven years old.

Despite the fact that the examination report obviously showed a cracked vagina as the reason for death, the spouse was along these lines absolved of the charge of assault in light of the fact that the laws on assault excluded conjugal assault from the ambit of the reformatory law totally. The pervasive assault laws allowed a man to have sex with his better half, independent of her assent, as long as she had achieved the age of ten, at that point. All things considered, Hari Mohan was charged under Sections 304, 304A, 325 and 338 of the IPC in this manner. In this particular case, it was held by the Court that a man didn't reserve the privilege to appreciate the individual as his significant other with no respect for the subject of her wellbeing and wellbeing.

**In case of Independent thought vs Union of India 2013**

**Fact**- by the Criminal Law Amendment Act, the period of agree to sex was expanded from 16 to 18 referenced under Section 375 of the Indian Penal Code. In any case, there was an exemption proviso to this Section i.e., under Exception 2, a spouse can have non-consensual sex with a young lady kid (for example under 18 years) on the off chance that she is over 15 years. In 2012, the POCSO Act was passed which likewise set the base age for the consensual sex as 18 years. Special case 2 was opposing to the Section 3 of the POCSO act which has condemned penetrative rape. The applicant, Independent Thought is a National Human Rights association, the applicant out in the open interested recorded a writ request under Article 32 of the Constitution testing the lawfulness and legality of Exception 2 as it was both self-assertive and prejudicial towards the young lady kid.

In February 2014, the Home Ministry under the United Progressive Alliance (UPA) government recorded a counter-sworn statement which was additionally later embraced by the National Democratic Alliance government, on the side of the Exception 2.

**Held**- The Division Bench while choosing whether a spouse submits the offense of assault on the off chance that he has sex with her better half who is between 15-18 years old, remarked as follows:

The Exception 2 of IPC makes a counterfeit differentiation between a wedded young lady kid and an unmarried young lady kid with no sensible nexus. The counterfeit differentiation is in opposition to both Article 15(3) and Article 21 of the Constitution. No other arrangement in correctional laws gives any insusceptibility to the spouse. It additionally abuses the substantial uprightness and contraceptive decision of the
young lady kid and has no actions for dealing with a young lady youngster. Subsequently, it is being self-assertive and prejudicial preventing the wellbeing of the young lady kid.

The parliament has expanded both the time of marriage and time of agreement occasionally. As of now, a young lady kid is neither qualified to wed nor give an assent before 18 years. At the point when age has been brought up in the wide range of various laws then Exception 2 by saving the time of assent for a spouse long term, has gotten absurd, uncalled for, out of line and violative of the privileges of the young lady youngster. Consequently, it is subjective and ought to be saved. The Exception 2 ought to be perused down as follows to make it predictable with the constitution:

"Sex or Sexual demonstrations by a man with his own significant other, the spouse not being 18 years, isn't assault.

"Nimesh Bhai Bharatbhai Desai vs Territory of Gujarat" while looking at the law identifying sexual offenses, saw that the spouses should be reminded that marriage isn't a permit to coercively assault their wives by any means. A spouse doesn't possess his significant other's body by reason of marriage and she doesn't in any way, become an object of proprietorship. By wedding, she doesn't strip herself of the basic freedom to a selective self-governance over her own body and subsequently, she is in a good place to legally give or retain her agreement to conjugal copulation anytime. Conjugal assault is in presence in India, which is a profoundly shocking offense which has scarred the trust and trust in the establishment of marriage totally. A huge populace of ladies have confronted the brunt of the non-criminalization of the training and live in contemptible dread for their life because of such non-criminalization. The Gujarat High Court was of the view that the denounced should be charged for insulting the humility of his better half and hence an examination toward this path should be directed.

**Analysis**

If we will see the status of marital rape in India it is horrifying as per the current law a wife is presumed to have given the consent sex after the marriage with her husband. The concept of implied consent It is a belief in India that after the marriage both the husband and wife has given a consent on the sexual intercourse between them and it cannot be taken as such a serious issue if it will come in the matter of the marital spouse. Rape is rape, independent of the character of the culprit, and age of the survivor. A lady who is assaulted by a more unusual, lives with a memory of a repulsive assault; a lady who is assaulted by her significant other lives with her attacker. Our reformatory laws, given over from the British, have overall stayed immaculate even following 73 years of freedom.

The honorable Supreme Court’s Judgement, doesn't accommodate any security of any lady over the age of 18 who may likewise be exposed to conjugal assault by her significant other and such cases are to a great extent pervasive everywhere on the country and go undetected or excused since no law accommodates any type of penalization or discipline for conjugal assault over a lady on the off chance that she is over the age of 18. Along these lines, if the lady is more established than eighteen and wedded to a
man who submits rape, the correctional arrangement don't have any significant bearing implying that, there is no lawful response for ladies survivors of assault if the one who submits the demonstration is their better half. This issue has been discussed a lot by ladies' gatherings more than once and the National Commission for Women has even recommended that "conjugal sex by a man with his own significant other without assent ought to likewise be considered as rape".

Conclusion
India prides itself on having a constitution that guarantees a free and dignified existence to all its citizens and has IPC which gives punishments to all offences. Its judicial system and legislation is one of the important part in making it a stronger and unbiased nation but the vibrant face of modern India has a persistent and ugly stain-the problem of marital rape. Indian law currently bears the cost of married couples isolated and autonomous lawful characters, and much law in the cutting edge period is expressly worried about the security of ladies. Along these lines, ample opportunity has already passed that the governing body should take cognizance of this legitimate illness and bring conjugal assault inside the domain of assault laws by taking out Section 375 (Exception 2) of IPC.

Suggestion
Though after the honorable Supreme court’s judgement the marital rape below 18 is an offence as it is a small step towards this condition but there is a long way to go as after the judgement some writ petition has filed in the court stating that this is violating the IPC section so the people it's a not a willing to make to the provisions on marital rape in Indian law , firstly we have to change our mentality like a after the marriage both the spouses are to be a single person and it is assumed that after the marriage the consent is automatically as been given up by both the spouses but it is not every time true secondly we should take survey of the women who are facing this type of conditions like marital rape and we have to introduce the bill against this or we have to introduce any section in the IPC for the offence against this . We all have to understand that this way is hurting the dignity of the women somewhere because it is doing against the will of her and this only leads to mental and stability as well as the racial discrimination.
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