



WORKPLACE ARBITRATION

By S.Dhivya Preeti

From School of Law, Alliance University,
Bangalore

ABSTRACT:

Arbitration is the most preferred method for resolving disputes that arises in the commercial agreements irrespective of the either it is domestic and international relationships. In other words arbitration is one of the types of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) which helps to determine the solution for the disagreements evolving between two parties, without the interference of the traditional court system. The arbitration can be further classified into voluntary or mandatory arbitration. In the course of recent years, it has gotten progressively ordinary for corporations to embed arbitration clauses into their contracts with employees. These clauses seem, by all accounts, to be harmless, or even valuable, to employees, however they sneak up all of a sudden. **Basically**, the problem adjoined with the mandatory arbitration is nothing but an employer is empowered to fire an employee who is not willing to sign an arbitration agreement. In this case, the employee will not be fired, when she is bound by the agreement and also when she had not refused to sign. They keep employees from going to court on the off chance that they have a dispute. Rather, when there is an arbitration condition, employees are needed to take their grumblings to a privatized, and regularly inferior forum gathering in which they are more averse to win—and on the off chance that they do, they are less inclined to recuperate their due. In addition, when a

dispute is chosen by arbitrator, there is no successful right of appeal. The practice toward expanding utilization of arbitration in employment connections takes steps to subvert many years of accomplishments in worker rights. This paper is describing how mandatory arbitration is ruining the employer-employee relation and it is to be eradicated from practice and the judicial interpretation of workplace arbitration in India and the suggestions how the workplace arbitration implemented in practical real life and profession.

Keywords: workplace arbitration, mandatory arbitration, judicial perspective, global perspective

CHAPTER 1:

INTRODUCTION

"There can be many different opinions between all of us anytime regarding religious or others but it is we who have to settle them all through arbitration." - **Mahatma Gandhi**¹ Black's Law Dictionary defines legal arbitration as "it is a process of dispute resolution by third party called as arbitrator who renders a decision after giving an opportunity to be heard on both parties. Arbitration in workplace is for the disputes such as sexual harassment racial discrimination, monetary issues, trade unions etc.

According to the bible, there was a King named Solomon who had trailed a dispute in which two mothers both claiming a child belonging to each other and this case was the first recorded evidence of arbitration. Back in 337 B.C. Inter-state arbitration was practiced

¹ Speech by Mahatma Gandhi.



in Greece by King Philip the second, who is the father of Alexander. In England the arbitration was in practice before the establishment of the king's court. In 1224, the commercial arbitration was established in England as process to take diversion from the courts proceedings. In America it is believed that Native American Indians adopted arbitral processes to resolve disputes. In India arbitration is used or was in practice for a longer period of time i.e., in ancient India people forward their disputes before a group of people which is called as the practice of "Panchayat" and the first arbitration regulations was established by the British which was known to Bengal Resolutions 1772. So the there was development of industrialization for economic development and to eradicate poverty even women had developed for going to workplace such as production factories and where there are many facing problems who don't have the knowledge to approach courts or feel discouraged to approach court so in that circumstances a prompt workplace arbitration is needed and it the people in working society to encourage women coming to workplace. But workplace arbitration is not only limited to sexual harassment but other disputes such as racial discrimination, promotion theft, recovery debts etc. A sincere hard worker should not be disappointed by not providing promotions by the employers and it is the duty of the workplace arbitration to pat on the back of hard-worker instead of supporting the employer for retaining a smooth relationship circumstances.

1.1 RESEARCH PROBLEM:

In order to benefit the employees the arbitration was introduced in the workplace but as the days moves the practices had changed to the world of favoring the higher

position employee or employer in the workplace and it had increased many racial solutions and difficulties in prove evidences.

1.2 RESEARCH QUESTION:

1. What are the stringent measures to be made for an effective and fair implementation of workplace arbitration?

1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE:

- i. In India, many of the companies has opted the dispute resolution policy through which they had included arbitration for future disputes.
- ii. Even those companies, included the dispute resolution clauses in the contracts entered by them when they recruit or hire employees.
- iii. As per the companies they assure the flexible approach towards the arbitration. So that the companies are available to provide speedy, flexible and confidential resolution for disputes that arise within the workplace and also the reputation of the workplace is retained well.

1.4 EXISTING LEGAL SITUATION:

According to section 10-A under the industrial disputes act 1947, the provision deals with the voluntary references of disputes to arbitration i.e., the parties or the employer and the employees through written statement take forward the matter for arbitration for adjudication along with the arbitrators names specifies with. The parties should sign the agreement and the said agreement must be in prescribed form and within one month of receipt, it should be forwarded to the appropriate government and then the arbitrator(s) shall investigate the parties and the award should be signed by the arbitrator.

1.5 HYPOTHESIS:



This paper describes that the aggrieved party who is not satisfied with the solution of the workplace arbitration should be given an opportunity of right to appeal and also the practice of mandatory arbitration is to be completely eradicated in the global perspective.

1.6 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This study basically measures the prevalent scope of arbitration agreements relating to the workplace disputes. This study also describes qualitatively the use and future of the workplace arbitration in India on the basis of arguments of Legal Counsels, and other legal personnel of various Indian companies. This paper also describes the workplace arbitration in Indian as well as the global perspective.

1.7 REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

- i. **“An Empirical study of employment arbitration: case outcomes and the processes”** by Alexander Colvin had described the employment arbitration and the workplace dispute resolutions practices and the cases of four fields where the employment arbitration and the workplace resolution practices to be interconnected and coordinating each other.
- ii. **“Employment Arbitration: Empirical findings and research needs in dispute resolution journal”** by A.J.S Colvin describes about the how employees are against the forced pre dispute arbitration agreements on them and also talks about the critics of mandatory employment arbitration .
- iii. **“Employment Rights: Time’s up for mandatory arbitration”** by Ruth Green(International Bar Association Journalist) described that the mandatory arbitration causes equal damaging as the METOO

movement issues been misled using the non disclosure agreement or confidentiality principle in workplace. Etc

- iv. **Arbitration of labor disputes in India: Towards a Public Policy Theory of Arbitrability”** by Samaran Sitaram Shetty described the Indian perspective of how the workplace arbitration is considered in the light of judiciary system.
- v. **“Alternative Dispute Resolution in Workplace (The South African Experience)”** by Hanneli Bendeman described that ADR is made compulsory as part to transform the South African labor relations since 1994 and also the alternative ways to reduce the conflict arising because of the compulsory and forcing the employees to resolve their problems in the workplace arbitration.

CHAPTER 2: ADR MECHANISM IN WORKPLACE

The ADR mechanism is chosen to offer a less formal environment to determine a solution for the disputes arose in the workplace. The types of ADR mechanism to be considered by the parties on regarding their grievances includes mediation, arbitration etc. ADR is a collective variety of processes which are chosen by the parties with willingness to try their disputes and the main objective of ADR mechanism is to resolve a dispute without the intervention of the court or employment tribunal proceedings. Basically, work disputes are rebellious, non cooperation and also when it goes for litigation to court proceeding, the expenses might be beyond the worth of the claims. So, in such circumstances, the parties shall opt for the ADR mechanism on mutual consent. So, now the main question is that why there is need for ADR mechanism



implemented in workplace? The answer for this is that for three reasons:

- i) The circumstances of the workplace can avoid disruption or maintain the friendly bonding in workplace.
- ii) The cost of litigation is also less or can be avoided.
- iii) It avoids the disputes to be blown altogether.
- iv) The main reason is 3 considerations : Reputation , Reliability and Revenue

The suitable of type of ADR mechanism is chosen based on:

- i) The dispute in question
- ii) Nature of the dispute
- iii) Value of the dispute
- iv) The cost or expenses to the parties is based on the type or form of ADR which is chosen.

There are three main forms of ADR which are commonly used in workplace disputes are:

- i) Mediation
- ii) Conciliation
- iii) Arbitration

Mediation is considered to be popularly in workplace to resolve the disputes in most cases. It is preferred in circumstances where the disputes are between parties relating to the professional arena such as strikes, professional mistakes, rivalry between employer and employee or employees disputes etc. Basically mediation is where a neutral third party is managing the discussion in order to reach out a solution which is satisfying both the parties and here the neutral third party plays the role as mediator and his presence is meant to be the key distinguishing feature of the process. Helps

the parties to identify the differences in their disputes and also help them to come to common settlement solutions. The mediator helps the parties by giving suggestions that may include monetary or non monetary also. The cost of mediation is often paid by the employer which includes the mediator's fees, hire of rooms etc. In the process of mediation the disputes are dealt privately and confidential. There may be no obligation to go to mediation, but in some cases, any agreement signed by the parties to a dispute will be binding on them.

Conciliation might be considered to be the best process in workplace by many, usually which happens frequently and shortly maybe before or after the tribunal proceedings, which is undertaken by ACAS. The parties contact ACAS in order to seek the assist of a conciliator and in case of potential claims and ACAS is restricted within a period of time and also the parties are compelled for their presence in the conciliation process. Under conciliation the parties are met individually and finally the conciliator acts as a conduit between the parties and also conveys the settlement proposals and summarizes the each party's merits of the case.

Arbitration is the most formal type among the ADR mechanisms and this form of ADR is suitable for dealing with financial issues between the legally represented parties in workplace, The issues arises mostly when an employee ended his relationship with the employer and the workplace and financial issues due to unsettlement etc. The arbitration is essentially considered to be the private court hearing and it is the parties who agree to appoint the arbitrators and those arbitrators' plays the role of judge in conventional court proceedings where the



parties have the benefit to abide the outcome of the arbitration. Basically the arbitration is the quasi-judicial process and where evidences are considered in such a manner as it is considered in court proceedings. The parties are free to decide and set boundaries on discovery and so that those issues to be decided by the arbitrator.

CHAPTER 3: WORKPLACE ARBITRATION IN THE GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE

Since 1994, in South Africa, mandatory or compulsory arbitration is in practice for resolving the industrial or labor disputes. In the US, majority of the companies (90% of the companies) go for mandatory arbitration and there exist critics to the mandatory arbitration. Mandatory arbitration is a practice in which the employers or the workplace compels and requires the employees to agree to arbitrate legal disputes within the workplace and they are not allowed to choose the way to court. Mandatory arbitration is also known as forced arbitration which is based on the contract entered by the employer and employees as parties resolve those contract disputes before an arbitrator instead of approaching the court for solution. It requires the parties to surrender specific rights such as appeals, etc. Under the mandatory arbitration, the parties are forced to accept the solution given by the arbitrator. Contracts which dealt with banks, credit card issuers, and contracts entered by the employers and employees of cell phone companies and even nursing home residents contracts and any contracts which is involve with consumer rights and those contracts contains voluntary binding arbitration clause are referred to arbitration mandatorily irrespective on the discretion of the interest of parties.

Mandatory arbitration is involved mostly in wages disputes but company's solution is in discrimination of claims.

3.1 MANDATORY ARBITRATION IN THE LIGHT OF USA:

According to the Federal Arbitration Act, the parties are entitled to approach the arbitration and it is considered to be valid and also enforceable as per the law and the employers used this to be golden tickets and without considered the employees interest who are forced to enter into arbitration and any consequences such as appointment of arbitrator, law of the choice etc. The very good example for this is that even the Financial Regulatory Authority (FINRA) had opted for mandatory arbitrations in most of the disputes which arises with them. Even though its being a non-profit entity, it has the responsibility to protect the investors from broker dealers and consultancy firms. Because people such as investors are dominated by the arbitration panels and the solution for the disputes are also not in favor of the investors.

This matter of mandatory arbitration was focused by the congress in 2000s as the spread of mandatory arbitrations in the fields of securities contracts, consumer contracts, and employment contracts indiscriminately. But the Supreme Court interpretation in 2009 prohibited the mandatory arbitration clauses in employment, consumer, franchise and civil rights disputes. The Arbitration fairness act is not applicable or its scope is not for the agreements involving commerce.

There was a development in the practice of mandatory arbitration in the workplace especially the USA. Mostly in nonunion private sectors employers use mandatory



arbitration so that the employees right to approach the court in regards to workplace issues is been seized from them. This mandatory arbitration worked well for the parties when dealing with the commercial disputes as well as the trade union issues as there is no interference of any emotions as it is interfered with business transactions and procedures in the workplace. So basically in these issues the arbitrators have to look through the contracts entered by the parties and mostly it's the repeated parties appear so the parties have equal bargaining power and access to evidence using that the case dispute can be used. As this was ongoing in smooth manner the mandatory arbitration became more prevalent. But at various circumstances, it afforded negative impacts to the employees. This strand had been reached due to few strands where the evidence which is to be proved from the employee's side is in the hands of the employers. The mandatory arbitration is available at higher costs than the expenses when accessed to the public court system.

In the USA, the Supreme Court had allowed companies to proceed with the process of corporations forcing its workers to enter into a contract containing mandatory arbitration clauses due to which they are losing their right to be heard in a court of law which is against the principle of natural justice. The Supreme Court held that employees claiming for wages, discrimination in employment, or any other complaint will be barred to access the court of law. This decision is in favor of employers again it's a chance for them to hide the real output of the solution which is not benefitted by the employees at anytime.²

There are also cons in opting Mandatory arbitration and it was now at the stage of being eradicated but now completely unless there is supportive clause in the provision of laws in many countries. The major drawback is highlighted when it comes to the mandatory arbitration for the issues dealing with #MeToo Movement. This is because, the aggrieved party is not able to produce proper evidences in their side to prove get justice for themselves and even sometimes there are forced to withdraw their complaints from arbitration and always the solution is just an apologize or sorry reply which is not small gesture to say sorry or apologize and ignore. In some circumstances, in order to safeguard the reputation of the entity as well as to avoid the situations which shutters the confidence and faith from public the aggrieved parties are not given proper justice for their claims, send off from the employment, coercion to them and always the arbitrators panel is in support of the employers and the right to appeal or access court of law also does not exist so the employees are vexed with no faith. This shows how the employees are discouraged in bringing out into lights their claims when their rights are violated. The employees are not only losing their right to entitle private legal action through court of law but they are also been prohibited from participated in class action suits also. This is because the mandatory agreements do not include the class action waivers. Why the mandatory arbitration is not encouraged from the employees' side? The employees' side is not benefitted by the mandatory arbitration because there is no legal system and no appeal process, as the mandatory arbitration is a big win only for the employers. Mandatory arbitration helps the

² Epic systems corp. v Lewis[2018] U.S 584



organizations in hiding misconduct which protects the organization to avoid embarrassment in public when the parties approach the court for solution. The mandatory arbitration had become more worsen as the arbitrators are acting as equivalent the jurors and also in favor of the company, if the fault is proved on the employer then the compensation claim for the employee will be lesser amount and the final solution is the employee is benefitted by the solution through arbitration but that's not true. Because of which the global effect of initiation is taken by the Google. On March 21, 2019 the reputed well known organization had mailed its employees that they will not be forced to settle their disputes through company's private arbitration in cases regarding sexual harassment and assaults and that too this forced arbitration is not for the full time employees alone. This change was due the employees of the Google staged their opinion of demanded changes in treating an employee.³

3.2 WORKPLACE ARBITRATION IN THE LIGHT OF EUROPEAN COUNTRIES:

But in Europe, most of the countries had prohibited arbitration for disputes arising out of individual employment contracts. While, Ireland as per the Arbitration Act 2010 did not recognize that the employment disputes to be resolved in arbitration instead the law wants those disputes such as terms and conditions of employment and discrimination to be approached to the labour court. If in case of any necessary requirement, then the labour court is empowered to refer any employment disputes to arbitration but the

³ Daisuke Wakabayashi, "Google Ends Forced Arbitration for All Employees Disputes" New York Times San Francisco (21 February 2019)

parties consent is essential. In U.K, the employment disputes such as discrimination claims, unfair dismissal claims are prohibited for arbitration. ADR must be evaluated at greater value and most preferable arbitration in resolving employment disputes.⁴ In France, arbitration to resolve the employment disputes is strictly prohibited because the employees are in weaker section of bargaining against the employers so the disputes are dealt under the French labour code with reference to the public policy. Like other countries, Germany also prohibited the arbitration except to disputes where the trade union is one of the parties. If the dispute is between the employer and employee then it is tried in the German Labor Court Law. In Italy, the labor courts are entitled to try the employment disputes and also a special procedure according to which time of trial to resolve their disputes within an expedient period. For the interests of the parties, i.e., the employers and employees the law had open that their disputes could be resolved through conciliation before going to the labor court. In Spain, the workplace arbitration is permitted only in collective issues such as strike, etc. It is prohibited for disputes between an individual employer and employee.

CHAPTER 4: JUDICIAL PERSPECTIVE OF WORKPLACE ARBITRATION IN INDIA

Even India has arbitration at workplace and it is interpreted in two judicial perspectives which were the judgments given by the Supreme Court of India. The Supreme Court had upheld that workplace arbitration to be implemented under which legal

⁴ Employment lawyers association , ADR group evaluation (U.K April 2016)



provision. Arbitration can be further classified into voluntary or mandatory where mandatory arbitration arises either through a statute or from a contract entered between the parties, in which the parties agree in case of existing or future disputes, the resolution can be obtained through arbitration irrespective of what kind of disputes is it. Arbitration can also be classified into either binding or non-binding. In case of Non-binding arbitration, its process is similar to mediation. When Indian legislation had enforced the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation act 1996 in partly divided in arbitration, conciliation etc but it did not broadly describe the issues or disputes to be resolved by arbitration. Due to which there resulted many cases where the scope of jurisdiction or validity of whether the arbitration can be used chosen to try such issues etc. These drawbacks were resolved on the basis of judicial interpretation by the Supreme Court. The two interpretations reached out by the Indian judiciary are those:

- a) Whether the industrial disputes are arbitrable or not
- b) The procedures to be followed in case of arbitration clauses in employment agreements.

There were ambiguous circumstances in Captain Prithvi Malhotra case, when the labor disputes are taken to the court to take action by the employees in case of disputes regarding the wages, discrimination of employment etc. The Bombay High Court held that the labor disputes are entitled to have jurisdiction or can be tried under the Indian arbitration and Conciliation act 1996.⁵ In contrary to this, there was another case in which the as per the Industrial disputes act

1948, there is a special provision regarding the unique procedure to be followed for arbitration regarding collective labor claims. As a result, in the Rajesh Korat case⁶ the court concluded that:

- a) When the claims are under the industrial disputes act 1948, then they are not arbitrable under the Arbitration and Conciliation act 1996.
- b) The claims are allowed to arbitrable only when those claims are satisfied as per the Industrial disputes act 1948 to choose arbitration.

It is clear from those two cases interpretation that, they both are correct independently and the common decision is that the judicial as well as quasi judicial forum is greatly established by comparing both the acts i.e., section 10A of Industrial Disputes act 1947 if the disputes failed to be settled by the conciliation then the parties are advised to opt for voluntary arbitration. The disputes which are resolved by way of arbitration have certain advantages only in case of the parties with faith on each other and the process is also informal, flexible and nature. Based on the judicial review, the Supreme Court held that the arbitrator's award would be illegal if it is against the provisions laid down by the legislation. According to article 226 of the Constitution of India, the Supreme Court held that the writ a lie against an arbitrator in Engineering Mazdoor Sabha v Hind Cycles Ltd.⁷ The arbitration agreement between employer and employee regarding industrial dispute could not be a private arbitration agreement but this is possible for section 10A of Industrial Disputes act 1947.⁸ In Nani

⁵ [2013] 7 CR 738

⁶ [2018] 7 IJAL 120

⁷ [1963]SCR Supl.(1) 625

⁸ Arjun P. Agarwal, Arbitration under section 10A of the industrial disputes act 1947 and constitution of



Gopal Sarkar v Heavy Engineering Corporations Ltd, in this case, challenging the section 10A arbitrator's award for non-compliance with the statutory mandated procedure could be dismissed when there is a breach of procedure which is specifically insisted under section 10A of the ID act 1947. In India, industrial disputes for which the workmen is entitled to claim then the industrial disputes act 1947 to be governing those disputes. When it is for employees other than workmen then their disputes shall be governed by the arbitration clauses in their respective agreements and under arbitration and conciliation act 1996. For reasons mentioned above, mediation is most preferred and advisable for amicable resolution of employment disputes. In case of disputes which failed to get resolved through mediation, then the parties can address their employment disputes to arbitration for less expenses otherwise can opt for court proceedings. The improvement to be made in the Indian judicial system regarding workplace arbitration, in case if an employee is affected or did not get proper justice, then he can file a petition to relating to unfair workplace arbitration. In that case the aggrieved party of the arbitration holds the burden of demonstrating the presence of the mandatory arbitration agreement. If the aggrieved party who seeking solution for injustice or unfair arbitration then the company or opponent have to prove that a

binding agreement was formed, the burden shifts to the party opposing arbitration to demonstrate that the agreement cannot be enforced. It is the court to find that whether for a particular situation, the company had met its burden or not. The arbitral awards can be set aside by a court on the grounds⁹ such as

- a. the parties to the agreement are under some incapacity;
- b. the agreement is void;
- c. the award contains decisions on matters beyond the scope of the arbitration agreement;
- d. the composition of the arbitral authority or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with the arbitration agreement;
- e. the award has been set aside or suspended by a competent authority of the country in which it was made;
- f. the subject matter of dispute cannot be settled by arbitration under Indian law; or
- g. The enforcement of the award would be contrary to Indian public policy.¹⁰

According to the amendment of the Arbitration and conciliation act 1996, clearly describes the limits of public policy such as¹¹:

- a. the making of the award was induced or affected by fraud or corruption or was in violation of Section 75 or 81; or

India(1963)journal of the Indian law Institute vol.5,pp138-144

⁹ Kumar Sumit, "ARBITRAL AWARD ITS CHALLENGE & ENFORCEMENT", <<http://www.legalservicesindia.com/article/433/Arbitral-Award-Its-Challenge-&-Enforcement.html>>, accessed on 8 October 2020

¹⁰ The arbitration and Conciliation act 1996, sec. 34

¹¹ Ranjit Shetty and Rahul Dev, "Fundamental Policy Of Indian Law' As Ground Of Challenge To An

Arbitral Award And The Requirement To Prove Loss In A Claim For Damages - - A Perspective Through Development Of Legal Principles" <<https://www.mondaq.com/india/arbitration-dispute-resolution/917196/fundamental-policy-of-indian-law39-as-ground-of-challenge-to-an-arbitral-award-and-the-requirement-to-prove-loss-in-a-claim-for-damages---a-perspective-through-development-of-legal-principles>> accessed 9, 2020



- b. it is in contravention with the fundamental policy of Indian law; or
- c. it is in contravention with the most basic notions of the morality or justice.

In the case, *Sanshin Chemical Industry v. Oriental Carbons & chemical Ltd.*,¹² hear the dispute between the parties is that the decision of the Joint Arbitration Committee in determining the venue of arbitration. The Apex Court held that the decision regarding venue cannot be considered as either an award or an interim award which could be appealable under Section 34 of the act.

CHAPTER 5: PROS AND CONS OF WORKPLACE ARBITRATION

The workplace arbitration outcomes might be slight in the sense that letter of apologizes as punishment and also maintains the organizational goals etc. The workplace arbitration is less time consuming due to its better technique of resolving the disputes when compared to civil suits as they will take an unexpected time in order to determine the disputes, get a solution for it especially in respective jurisdictions. Arbitrators stand by the parties in order to over the technical matters even in complicated disputes. There is the convenience of the parties as they can settle on the language, venue and time of the proceedings. Privacy and confidentiality of the parties are kept up as there is no pointless publicity of the dispute. Arbitral continuing is more adaptable than the court continuing as under the arbitral continuing one doesn't need to keep the severe and unbending principles and regulation as that of the court. This is because of the explanation that parties

set the guidelines and regulations of the proceedings.

Despite the fact that arbitration is famous, some essential challenges remain. Arbitration's have conjointly become tedious and dear and, in any event, when an arbitral award, one gathering or the different will in general test the award in court. This is fundamentally obvious when the ineffective party is a government element. In numerous countries, the strategy for testing the award itself takes an all-inclusive measure of time, vanquishing the point of arbitration; however a few courts in India do choose such difficulties rapidly. We have 3 sorts of forums perceived by this proclamation to engage labor dispute and gives legitimate manner appropriately; standard courts, labor connection board, and ADR like Arbitration, Conciliation, strike, look out, collective bargaining. During this part we will see just a portion of the ADR implies that utilized in labor dispute and furthermore the working of the Labor Relation Board.

ADR is a way to acknowledge equity without the impedance of the government. It's not as a rule lead by the will and impulse of the government. Anyway especially the government may include a confined enthusiasm inside the ADR procedures, for instance in labor cases the government assumes some function in conciliation procedures. This part can endeavor to deal with this case well indeed. ADR in labor connection is pointed in keeping up mechanical harmony and security towards the all round improvement of the nation. Since disputes will undoubtedly emerge ADR sets out the methods important for their speedy settlement. We likewise pointed

¹² AIR 2001 SC 1219



toward tending to various ADR ways and their legitimate impacts as are utilized in the labor proclamation. Besides we will perceive how labor disputes are settled at various levels. At long last, it's prominent that Conciliation, Arbitration doesn't appear to be the sole organs engaging labor disputes different to court prosecution. Indeed, even the objective of the labor Relation Board isn't carefully to go about as an adjudicatory organ anyway to work a conciliator in any event at the soonest phase of the proceeding. Furthermore we've elective ADR sorts properly perceived under the labor law, for example self improvement. The successful execution of those menses will encourage gatherings to wind up their complaints by extra-judicial devises genially.

CHAPTER 6:

CONCLUSION

The workplace arbitration is more effective type of arbitration which must be done with few characteristics or requisites which must not be flexible due to any reasons and those requisites are speedy disposal, less cost, transparency and confidential and impartial. The workplace arbitration should consider the truth from the statements of both parties and the solution must be made with the consent of the parties and not by coercion of any parties. A true solution for any labor disputes will never ruin the reputation of the organization at any cost. In 2019, the Google has also abandoned the mandatory arbitration in sexual harassment and monetary claims. This can be a starting or ignition to fair workplace arbitration and it's not that the sexual harassment to be dealt through conciliation or tribunal as it may be uncomfortable for the victim. In order to benefit the employees as well as the

employers it is mandatory eradicate mandatory arbitration and rather opt for court of law. Especially in cases such as sexual harassment and assault other employees must together with the aggrieved party and speak the truth as victim in order to get fair justice. There must be mention about the issues to be dealt under the Arbitration and conciliation act 1996 not only for voluntary arbitration but also for other types of arbitrations etc. As per the industrial disputes act 1947 covers its scope for workmen and so the arbitration and conciliation is applicable only for employees and only if they are entered to a legal contract that contains arbitration clause or agreement. Arbitration law is a unique region of law. Since the Supreme Court choices have made arbitration the main gathering accessible for settling disputes by and large, the specific subtleties of arbitration strategies should be settled. Consequently the quantity of cases keeps on developing, and new issues are consistently emerging. Be that as it may, the patterns are clear: Courts won't grant states to contract arbitration, and they will authorize arbitration arrangements in everything except the most uncommon conditions, regardless of how much bit of leeway they provide for the more grounded parties. Considering these decisions, it isn't astonishing that the utilization of arbitration by private-segment organizations and employers has developed hugely. So, in the Indian judiciary, the changes can be made is that when the aggrieved party seeing justice for unfair solution then said party should be empowered appeal to the court with proper proof of mandatory arbitration agreement exists and then the burden moves to opponent to prove that the aggrieved party does not bound with the arbitration agreement.



CHAPTER 7:
BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Ajibola Dalley, 'The importance of arbitration in today's workplace' bizcommunity (Labor law News South Africa 18 September 2019)
- 2) Alexander Fernandez Campbell, 'Google employees fought for their right to sue the company and won' <<https://www.vox.com/technology/2019/2/22/18236172/mandatory-forced-arbitration-google-employees>>accessed 8 October 2020
- 3) Alexander J.S.Colvin, The growing use of Mandatory Arbitration (Economic Policy Institute, 6 April 2018) <<https://www.epi.org/publication/the-growing-use-of-mandatory-arbitration-access-to-the-courts-is-now-barred-for-more-than-60-million-american-workers/>> accessed 4 October 2020
- 4) Arjun P. Agarwal, Arbitration under section 10A of the industrial disputes act 1947 and constitution of India (1963) journal of the Indian law Institute vol.5, pp138-144
- 5) Avishikta Chattopadhyay, Workplace Mediation: Need, Usage, Advantages (13 August 2019) <<https://www.legalbites.in/workplace-mediation/>> accessed 3 October 2020
- 6) Daisuke Wakabayashi, "Google Ends Forced Arbitration for All Employees Disputes" New York Times San Francisco (21 February 2019)
- 7) Hanneli Bendeman, 'Alternative Dispute Resolution in Workplace (The South African Experience)' AJCR 2007/1 <<https://www.accord.org.za/ajcr-issues/alternative-dispute-resolution-adr-in-the-workplace/>> accessed 5 October 2020
- 8) International Bar Association 'Employment Rights: Time's up for mandatory arbitration' (Ruth Green April 2019) <<https://www.ibanet.org/Article/New-Detail.aspx?ArticleUid=5D0F1755-72F2-4DFD-99A9-F8726A8C5299>> accessed 29 September 2020
- 9) Joanne Deschenaux, Employee who continued to work consented to arbitration, (29 April 2019) <<https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/legal-and-compliance/state-and-local-updates/pages/employee-who-continued-to-work-consented-to-arbitration.aspx>> accessed 28 September 2020
- 10) Kumar Sumit, "ARBITRAL AWARD ITS CHALLENGE & ENFORCEMENT", <<http://www.legalservicesindia.com/article/433/Arbitral-Award-Its-Challenge-&-Enforcement.html>>, accessed on 8 October 2020
- 11) Michael Yelnosky, Labour law illiteracy: Epic systems corp v Lewis and Janu v AFSCME (2019) <<http://docplayer.net/171960156-Labor-law-illiteracy-epic-systems-corp-v-lewis-and-janus-v-afscme.html>> accessed 6 October 2020
- 12) Ranjit Shetty and Rahul Dev, "Fundamental Policy Of Indian Law' As Ground Of Challenge To An Arbitral Award And The Requirement To Prove Loss In A Claim For Damages - - A Perspective Through Development Of Legal Principles" <<https://www.mondaq.com/india/arbitration-dispute-resolution/917196/fundamental-policy-of-indian-law39-as-ground-of-challenge-to-an-arbitral-award-and-the-requirement-to-prove-loss-in-a-claim-for-damages---a-perspective-through-development-of-legal-principles>> accessed 9, 2020
- 13) Smaran Sitaram Shetty, Arbitration of labour disputes in India: towards public policy theory of Arbitrability, (26 November 2017) <<http://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2017/11/2>



6/arbitration-labor-disputes-india-towards-public-policy-theory-arbitrability/> accessed 28 September 2020

- 14) The Employee Rights Advocacy Institute for Law & Policy, Widespread use of Workplace Arbitration among America's 100 companies(3 march 2018) pp.10
- 15) Winifred E. Campbell, 'Resolution of Labor and Employment disputes in EU nations', (September 19, 2016)<
<http://www.mchattielaw.com/blog/2016/09/19/resolution-of-labor-and-employment-disputes-in-eu-nations/>> accessed 9 October 2020

